

SAGITER

Savoirs agro-écologiques et ingéniosité des terroirs

Agro-ecological knowlegdes and land ingenuity

RÉUNION DU COMITÉ DE SUIVI / FOLLOW-UP COMMITTEE MEETING

November 2nd 2016 by Hangout

PARTICIPANTS

Présents / presents : Marie-Laure, Guy, Rosa, Sylvie, Nicolaï, Janko, Istvan

ORDRE DU JOUR DE LA RÉUNION / MEETING AGENDA



1. Préparation du séminaire de Gödöllö



1. Preparation of Gödöllö Seminar

Préparation du séminaire de Gödöllö

Preparation of Gödöllö Seminar

- Analyse critique, en 3 parties : diaporama à partir des éléments envoyés par Guy (cf point suivant), présentation globale de l'itinéraire de formation, avec animation d'une séquence avec outil pédagogique faisant parti de l'itinéraire, échanges. Attention à la durée de la présentation par diaporama : 7 minutes y compris les demandes d'éclaircissement. Partage de séquence de l'itinéraire 30 minutes : Janko ? Attention à la fatigue en fin de journée, par contre il est important que tous les partenaires aient présenté leur itinéraire. Sylvie s'occupera de garder le temps et fera l'animation de cette séquence.
- Two important points to add at the agenda of the meeting :
1 : Budget
On the spending : level of commitment, delays of commitment, budgetary reorientations and proposals of amendment with the agency. Cathy will propose a frame, a summary table of the projected state and the real situation in the interim report. This table will be discussed by each partner, and we will validate a proposal of modification of the budget for the year 3. Please, discuss this frame who is attached in the dropbox:
https://www.dropbox.com/home/sagiter/Seminars/5_Godolo_meeting. Every partner has to

realize an evaluation of his expenses, a projection of his capacity to be committed or not the balance in the year 3. According to the commitments and proposals of each, we shall formalize on the occasion of the seminary a proposal of modification / rebalancing of the budget.

2 : Self evaluation of the activity of each partner :

We propose that every partner realizes a double evaluation of his activity since the beginning of the project, with regard to his initial commitments

> Quantitative: (powerpoint, 5 mn maxi by partner)

- How many people were committed in the program (farmers, advisers, trainers, students students) ?

- How many actions were organized on the territory and which? (meetings, training sessions, events) ?

> Qualitative: (powerpoint, 5-10 mn maxi by partner) *It is a question here for every partner of concerning a critical and constructive vision its action since the beginning of the program*

- Globally, where are we with regard to the initial commitment of the structure in the project.?

- Did we reached the goals that we had settled at the beginning of the program?

- We can reach these goals or we have to revise them?

- What main difficulties did we meet ?

- That do we expect in particular from the partnership/ coordination during the year to come?

- Other self comment.

- **Mardi matin** : repérer les convergences entre tous les itinéraires. A Cluj, 3 ateliers thématiques avaient été mis en place : compétences (reste à faire les compétences des enseignants), analyse des itinéraires, synthèse des échanges (à modifier, pour travailler sur les convergences). D'abord du temps par atelier, 1 heure et demi par exemple. Animer cette séquence avec un world café, 1 heure et demi, qui permettra de croiser les approches : gouvernementale, associative, ONG... Prendre toute la matinée, ce qui permettra d'avoir du temps si besoin pour ce qui n'aura pas été fini la veille au soir.
- Fin de journée : cf. réunion de mardi + élaborer un guide de règles pour la continuation du programme, pour avoir une trame commune : contenu, publics, lien fonds-forme etc.
- Après l'accélérateur de projet, nous choisirons la forme d'animation en fonction de ce qui sortira de l'accélérateur, avec l'idée de faire deux groupes, pour que chaque pays participe à la discussion. A voir sur le moment.
- Pour l'atelier vidéo-photo, si nous voulons apporter quelque chose nous pouvons, mais ce sera à partir des enregistrements des visites.
- Guy insiste sur le fait que chacun dit aussi faire un point sur ses engagements budgétaires et montrer sa capacité à engager ou pas le solde de la subvention, car dans ce cas il faudra faire un avenant.
- **Monday** : The meeting consists on three major parts. First, the evaluation of Brussels and the discussion about the self commitments in a qualitative and quantitative way. Second, the trainings-station of each partner. Third, critical discussion about all trainings-station. Sylvie is worried about the time, everybody should be very focused on the different subjects. Guy said clearly, every partner has ten minutes for the budget explanations. The tools will be presented by partners, who were quiet until now. Sylvie want to define the partners. Janko will present about his findings. Other will present their findings and can propose it. Helene asked for the sharing of a real presentation or experienced. After a little discussion between all, it is clear that a presentation should take about 30 min. Within these 30 minutes there should be at least 5 minutes a demonstration of tool. We have still worries about time. Sylvie want to make the lead about the sequence in the afternoon. She will be very correct on the time.

- **Tuesday** > starting a bit later. Start 8.30.

It is proposed to put in place three working groups : skills, analysis of trainings stations, analysis of exchanges. There is still work to do in the groups, the first group should finish the skills of the trainers (students were already discussed). The second group still has work to do and the third group should maybe work on convergence of the exchanges. First workshop, about one hour and then a word café of one hour . Especially the different perspectives are valuable for us (government, institutions, NGO, university).

Proposition 1,30 workshop in the 3 groups and then 1,30 worldcafe.

Output : The idea is to cocreate something for the end of SAGITER. The main question is what are we presenting and how.

> Marie-Laure proposed a project-accelerateur for this topic. And afterwards a facilitation note.

- Workshop with Marc : We should make more workshops. We will collect some data during the fieldtrips. After this Marc will show us all important aspects.